UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,932	11/02/2006	Rephael Hof	26410-13952	5381
758 FENWICK & V	7590 02/13/200 VEST LLP	EXAMINER		
SILICON VAL	LEY CENTER		PATEL, YOGESH P	
801 CALIFORNIA STREET MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/573,932	HOF ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	YOGESH PATEL	3732					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
	/ IC CET TO EVOIDE AMONTH!	C) OD TUUDTY (20) DAVC					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 De</u>	ecember 2008						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>40-52</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) 40-52 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
222 m.s attached actained chief actain for a not of the continue copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	.	(DTO 440)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/16/08,03/17/08,11/30/07,05/22/06</u> . 6)							



Application No.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 40-52 in the reply filed on 12/05/2008 is acknowledged. Currently, claims 40-52 are pending.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure does not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(4). A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 09/16/08, 03/17/08, 11/30/07 and 05/22/06 are being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 40-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed,

had possession of the claimed invention. No support found for "deformable in a direction perpendicular to the axis" as recited in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 40, 43-44 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Buchanan (5,897,316).

Buchanan discloses a dental instrument including a flexible member (fig. 16a-e and summary of the invention) having a longitudinal axis, the member is capable of being bendable along the axis and deformable in a direction perpendicular to the axis to conform to a channel having a non-uniform width; the flexible member is a shape memory member.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 42, 44, 48-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buchanan as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Malmin (4,019,254).

Buchanan discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for a plurality of radially disposed elements, a cutting edge being disposed on the distal ends of the radially disposed elements and longitudinal element.

Malmin teaches an instrument having plurality of radially disposed elements, a cutting edge being disposed on the distal ends of the radially disposed elements (fig. 1-6) and a longitudinal element connected to a plurality of circumferential element.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Buchanan by providing radially disposed elements as taught by Malmin in order to efficiently expand a channel or root canal. Malmin further provides abrasive surface 34 (fig. 8).

Claim 45-47 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buchanan in view of Malmin as applied to claim 44 above, and further in view of Abel (2003/0211442).

Buchanan/Malmin discloses the invention substantially as claimed and further discloses that the member exhibits lattice structure, except for the member having a hollow interior wall portion of the instrument

Abel teaches an instrument 400 having a hollow interior wall (fig. 6a). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention

Application/Control Number: 10/573,932 Page 5

Art Unit: 3732

was made to modify Buchanan/Malmin by providing hollow interior as taught by Abel in order for chip removal.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YOGESH PATEL whose telephone number is (571)270-3646. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached on 571-272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/YOGESH PATEL/ Examiner, Art Unit 3732

/Ralph A. Lewis/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3732